

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/980,066 | 02/27/2002 | Johan Drott | GAMBRO-258 | 5318 |
| 530 7 | 590 07/19/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090 | | | WITCZAK, CATHERINE | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3767 | · |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | ϵ | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 09/980,066 | DROTT, JOHAN | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Catherine N. Witczak | 3767 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet with | th the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON- tute, cause the application to become AB | CATION. sply be timely filed IHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u> | <u> April 2003</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T | D⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-32</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-32</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | d/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exami | ner. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | he drawing(s) be held in abeyan | ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a li | ist of the certified copies not i | received. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | ummary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 | |)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | * | | | | |

mm H6 (00

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20060706

Application/Control Number: 09/980,066

Art Unit: 3767

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis

for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who

has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention

thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA)

and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply

when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed

before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C.

102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

1. Claims 14-22 and 28-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bonne (US

6,361,206).

Bonne discloses in Figure 1 a tube (31) for retaining a liquid, including a level lateral access

opening sealed by a temperature sensor that is in direct contact with the liquid in the tube and which does

not extend within the tube in a manner to significantly reduce the cross-sectional area of the tube.

Bonne discloses the claimed invention except for a domed portion. At the time the invention was

made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to

include a domed portion because Applicant has not disclosed that a domed portion provides an advantage,

is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore,

would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with a domed portion because it

WWW The los

Application/Control Number: 09/980,066 Page 3

Art Unit: 3767

would also allow for liquid flowing through the tube to come into direct contact with the sensor.

Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Bonne to obtain the invention

as specified in claims 14, 16, 17, 28, 29, and 31.

2. Claims 23, 24, 25, and 26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bonneas

modified by Abrams (US 4,613,325).

Bonne discloses the claimed invention except for disclosing the tube being either elastic, flexible,

or rigid, and made out of glass, plastic, or metal. Abrams teaches that it is known to use elastic, flexible,

or rigid tubes made out of glass, plastic, or metal in column 17, lines 14-19 to allow for the use of a tube

of variable stiffness and material depending on need. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary

skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system as taught by Bonne with the tube

being either elastic, flexible, or rigid, and made out of glass, plastic, or metal as taught by Abrams, since

such a modification would allow for the use of a tube of variable stiffness and material depending on

need.

3. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bonne as modified by

Karlsson (US 5,741,284).

Bonne discloses the claimed invention except for it being used in a dialysis monitor. Bonne

teaches that it is known to use a sensor in direct contact with fluid in a dialysis monitor in column 1, lines

10-16 in order to analyze liquids for microdialysis purposes (column 1, lines 10-20). It would have been

obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system as

taught by Bonne by using it in a dialysis monitor, since such a modification would provide the system

with a way of analyzing liquids for microdialysis purposes.

Response to Arguments

W

Art Unit: 3767

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14-32 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is

reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from

the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing

date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH

shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action

is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX

MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Catherine N. Witczak whose telephone number is (571) 272-7179. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8-5 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin

Sirmons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/980,066

Art Unit: 3767

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Page 5

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer

Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR

CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

mm 7/6 606

KEVIN C. SIRMONS SUPERVISORY PATENT EYAMINER

Keir C. Sermon